Subject: File Stamped Documents

Date: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 at 2:33:04 PM Central Standard Time

From: Office Office
To: Paul Dulberg

Attachments: Def Hans Mast Pkg - Advantage.pdf, Def Popovich Firm Pkg - Advantage.pdf

HI Paul,

Here are copies of the final, file stamped documents received today from the court.

These have been sent to the process server for service. According to the summons, the server has 30 days to obtain service. I have a task set to follow up in two weeks to see if they've obtained service yet or not.

Also, please note the NOTICE box on the right side of the file stamped complaint, which indicates our first intitial status date in court in set for February 27, 2018 at 9 am. You will not need to be present at that time, but may appear if you desire. Most of the status dates are just to manage the calendars and for the judge to give dates. If there is ever a hearing you absolutely HAVE to be at Tom will tell you. Again, no required appearance in February, but you may show up if you would like.

Thank you,

Margaret G. Buckley Paralegal The Gooch Firm 209 South Main Street Wauconda, Illinois 60084 (847) 526-0110 (phone) (847) 526-0603 (fax)

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

SUMMONS - 30 DAY IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

(Name all parties)	enry county, illinois
PAUL DULBURG	
	
Plaintiff(s)	
HANS MAST AND THE LAW	Case Number 17LA000377
OFFICES OF THOMAS	
POPOVICH	Amount Claimed \$
Defendant(s)	-
S	UMMONS
To each Defendant: HANS MAST	
3416 W. ELM STRE	ET
MCHENRY, IL 6005	
Woodstock, Illinois, 60098, within 30 days after YOU FAIL TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT OR I YOU FOR THE RELIEF ASKED IN THE CO. To the officer: This summons must be returned by the officendorsement of service and fees, if any, immediate shall be returned so endorsed. This summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to endorse the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will be returned to end on the summons may you will	ficer or other person to whom it was given for service, with stely after service. If service cannot be made, this summons by not be served later than 30 days after its date. DATE 11/28/2017 Electronically Issued 17.111117451 FATERISES M. STITE CASE OF COMP. BATERISES M. STITE CASE OF COMP. BATERISES M. STITE CASE OF COMP. BATERISES M. STITE CASE OF COMP.
Plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff if he is not represe	
Name THOMAS W. GOOCH, III Attorney for PLAINTIFF PAUL DULBERO	Prepared by THOMAS W. GOOCH, III
Address 209 S. MAIN STREET	Attorney Registration No. 3123355
City, State Zip WAUCONDA, IL 60084	_
Telephone 847-526-0110	-
CV-SUM9: Revised 02/20/14	 Page 1 of 2

Sheriff's fees	Service and return	\$	
	Miles	\$	
	Total Sheriff	's Fees \$	
	Sheriff of		County
I CERTIFY THAT I SERV	ED THIS SUMMONS ON DE		
Anorn he left the stillillous, a	DANTS – PERSONAL: aking service, shall (a) identify a nd (b) state the place where (whe when the summons was left with t	never nossible in terms o	mate age of the defendant with f an exact street address) and
The officer or other person me the defendant, with whom he	DANTS – ABODE: mons and a copy of the complain f the age of 13 years and upwards aking service, shall (a) identify as left the summons, and (b) state th d time of day when the summons	i, informing that person or to sex, race and approxime place where (whenever	f the contents of the summons, mate age of the person, other to massible in terms of an exact
	the state of the s		
and also by sending a copy of addressed to each individual d Name of Defendant		nt in a sealed envelope winde, as follows: ag Address	Date of Mailing
c) CORPORATION DEFE By leaving a copy of the summer to the summer of the summer to the summer of the	ons and a copy of the complaint	with the registered agent,	officer or agent of each
Defendant Corporation		ent, Officer or Agent	Date of Service
d) OTHER SERVICE	T- T- A	4	
		, Sheriff of	County
	Ву:		, Deputy
CV-SUM9: Revised 02/20/14	Page 2 of	f 2	

Page 2 of 2

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

	NUL DULBERG intiff vs.			Katherine M. Keefe Clerk of the Circuit Court ****Electronically Filed**** Transaction ID: 1711117451 17LA000377 11/28/2017 MoHenry County Illinois 22nd Judicial Circuit ************************************
	ANS MAST & LAW OFC T. POPOVICH	Case Number_	17LA00037	7
	CERTIFICATE (OF ATT	ORNEY	
1 he	ereby certify that:			
V	There has been no previous voluntary or involitigation.			pject matter of this
	There has been a previous voluntary or involu			ect matter of this
	litigation and at the time of the dismissal that	case number	· · ·	was
	assigned to The Honorable			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	There is no other litigation presently pending subject matter of this lawsuit.			e parties to and/or
	There is presently pending other litigation in t	his County i	nvolving the pa	arties to or subject
	matter to this lawsuit and that case or cases is/			
			gned to The H	
		*		
Name	THOMAS W. GOOCH, III	2lon	Weden	4
Attori	ney for PLAINTIFF/PAUL DULBERG	Attorn	ey for Complains	nt or Petitioner
Addre	ess_209 S. MAIN STREET			
City, S	State Zip WAUCONDA, IL 60084		•	
	947 526 0440			

CV-CRT4 (revised 12/01/06) Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 85-7

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

		Clerk of the Circuit Comt
PAUL DULBERG,	,	*****Electronically Filed**** Transaction ID: 171 11117451 17LA000377
Plaintiff,		11/28/2017 McHenry County, Illinois 22nd Judicial Circuit
v.) No. 17LA000377	
THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,	}	
Defendant.)	

RULE 222 (b) AFFIDAVIT

Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 222(b), counsel for the above-named Plaintiff certifies that plaintiff seeks money damages in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00)

Thomas W. Gooch, III
Attorney for Plaintiff

THOMAS W. GOOCH, III THE GOOCH FIRM 209 South Main Street Wauconda, IL 60084 847-526-0110 gooch@goochfirm.com ARDC: 3123355

STATE OF ILLINOIS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 22nd JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY

Katherine M. Keefe Clerk of the Circult Court *****Electronically Filed***** Transaction ID: 17111117451 17LA000377 11/28/2017 McHenry County, Illinois 22nd Judolal Circuit

PAUL DULBERG		*************
Plaintiff	471.00	
vs.	Case Number 17LA00	JU377
HANS MAST &LAW OFC POPOVICH		
Defendant		
\mathbf{JU}	RY DEMAND	
The undersigned demands a jury trial, by	Jan Al Huntun /12	1 000
of se	Joing of words (19) henzous
	Flow W. Son	Q
	Signature	
	Date November 28	20 17
Name_THOMAS W. GOOCH, III		
DI AINTIEE/DANI DIII DEDO		
Attorney for PLAINTIFF/PAUL DULBERG		
Address 209 S. MAIN STREET	Additionally and the second	
City, State Zip WAUCONDA, IL 60084	·	·
phone 847-526-0110		

CV-JRD1 (Revised 12/01/06)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PAUL DULBERG,	Katherine M. Keefs Clerk of the Choult our ******Riectronically Filed** Transaction ID:177111174
Plaintiff,) 17LA000377) 11/28/2017 McHenry County Illinois) 22nd Judicial Circuit
v.)
THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,) NOTICE)
Defendant.	THIS CASE IS HEREBY SET FOR A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE IN COURTROOM 201 ON 02/27/2018 AT 9:00 AM
<u>COMPLAINT</u> (Legal Malp	AT LAW FAILURE TO APPEAR MAY RESULT IN

COMES NOW your Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG (hereinafter also referred to as

"DULBERG"), by and through his attorneys, THE GOOCH FIRM, and as and for his Complaint against THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C. (hereinafter also referred to as "POPOVICH"), and HANS MAST (hereinafter also referred to as "MAST"), states the following:

- 1. Your Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, is a resident of McHenry County, Illinois, and was such a resident at all times complained of herein.
- 2. Your Defendant, THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., is a law firm operating in McHenry County, Illinois, and transacting business on a regular and daily basis in McHenry County, Illinois.
- 3. Your Defendant, HANS MAST, is either an agent, employee, or partner of THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C. MAST is a licensed attorney in the State of Illinois, and was so licensed at all times relevant to this Complaint.

- 4. That due to the actions and status of MAST in relation to POPOVICH, the actions and inactions of MAST are directly attributable to his employer, partnership, or principal, being THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPVICH, P.C.
- 5. Venue is therefore claimed proper in McHenry County, Illinois, as the Defendants transact substantial and regular business in and about McHenry County in the practice of law, where their office is located.
- 6. On or about June 28, 2011, your Plaintiff, DULBERG was involved in a horrendous accident, having been asked by his neighbors Caroline McGuire and William McGuire, in assisting a David Gagnon in the cutting down of a tree on the McGuire property. DULBERG lived in the neighborhood.
- 7. At this time, Gagnon lost control of the chainsaw he was using causing it to strike DULBERG. This caused substantial and catastrophic injuries to DULBERG, including but not \lambda limited to great pain and suffering, current as well as future medical expenses, in an amount in excess of \$260,000.00, along with lost wages in excess of \$250,000.00, and various other damages.
- 8. In May of 2012, DULBERG retained THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J.

 POPOVICH, P.C., pursuant to a written retainer agreement attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 9. A copy of the Complaint filed by MAST on his own behalf, and on behalf of DULBERG, is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, and the allegations of that Complaint are fully incorporated into this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. An implied term of the retainer agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, was that at all times, the Defendants would exercise their duty of due care towards their client and conform their acts and actions within the standard of care every attorney owes his client.

- 11. That as Exhibit B reveals, Defendants property filed suit against not only the operator of the chain saw, but also his principals, Caroline McGuire and William McGuire, who purportedly were supervising him in his work on the premises.
- 12. At the time of filing of the aforesaid Complaint, MAST certified pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 137, that he had made a diligent investigation of the facts and circumstances around the Complaint he filed, and further had ascertained the appropriate law. MAST evidently believed a very good and valid cause of action existed against Caroline McGuire and William McGuire.
- 14. MAST and POPOVICH continued to represent DULBERG through to and including March of 2015, following which DULBERG and the Defendants terminated their relationship.
- 15. Continuously throughout the period of representation, MAST and POPOVICH represented repeatedly to DULBERG there was no possibility of any liability against William and/or Caroline McGuire and/or Auto-Owners Insurance Company, and fulled DULBERG into believing that the matter was being properly handled. Then, due to a claimed failure of communication, MAST and POPOVICH withdrew from the representation of DULBERG.

- 16. Thereafter, DULBERG retained other attorneys and proceeded to a binding mediation before a retired Circuit Judge, where DULBERG received a binding mediation award of \$660,000.00 in gross, and a net award of \$561,000.00. Unfortunately, a "high-low agreement" had been executed by DULBERG, reducing the maximum amount he could recover to \$300,000.00 based upon the insurance policy available. The award was substantially more than that sum of money, and could have been recovered from McGuire's had they not been dismissed from the Complaint. A copy of the aforesaid Mediation Award is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.
- 17. The McGuire's were property owners and had property insurance covering injuries or losses on their property, as well as substantial personal assets, including the property location where the accident took place at 1016 West Elder Avenue, in the City of McHenry, Illinois, McGuire's were well able to pay all, or a portion of the binding mediation award had they still remained parties.
- 18. DULBURG, in his relationship with POPOVICH and MAST, cooperated in all ways with them, furnishing all necessary information as required, and frequently conferred with them.
- 19. Until the time of the mediation award, DULBURG had no reason to believe he could not recover the full amount of his injuries, based on POPOVICH'S and MAST'S representations to DULBERG that he could recover the full amount of his injuries from Gagnon, and that the inclusion of the McGuire's would only complicate the case.
- 20. Following the execution of the mediation agreement with the "high-low agreement" contained therein, and the final mediation award, DULBURG realized for the first time that the information MAST and POPOVICH had given DULBERG was false and misleading, and that in fact, the dismissal of the McGuire's was a serious and substantial mistake. Following the

mediation, DULBERG was advised to seek an independent opinion from an attorney handling Legal Malpractice matters, and received that opinion on or about December 16, 2016.

- 21. MAST and POPOVICH, jointly and severally, breached the duties owed DULBURG by violating the standard of care owed DULBERG in the following ways and respects:
- a) Failed to take such actions as were necessary during their representation of DULBERG to fix liability against the property owners of the subject property (the McGuire's) who employed Gagnon, and sought the assistance of DULBERG;
- b) Failed to thoroughly investigate liability issues against property owners of the subject property;
- c) Failed to conduct necessary discovery, so as to fix the liability of the propertyowners to DULBERG;
- d) Failed to understand the law pertaining to a property owner's rights, duties and responsibilities to someone invited onto their property;
- e) Improperly urged DULBURG to accept a nonsensical settlement from the property owners, and dismissed them from all further responsibility;
- f) Failed to appreciate and understand further moneys could not be received as against Gagnon, and that the McGuire's and their obvious liability were a very necessary party to the litigation;
- g) Falsely advised DULBURG throughout the period of their representation, that the actions taken regarding the McGuire's was proper in all ways and respects, and that DULBURG had no choice but to accept the settlement;

- h) Failed to properly explain to DULBURG all ramifications of accepting the McGuire settlement, and giving him the option of retaining alternative counsel to review the matter;
- i) Continually reassured DULBURG that the course of action as to the property owners was proper and appropriate;
- j) Were otherwise negligent in their representation of DULBERG, concealing from him necessary facts for DULBURG to make an informed decision as to the McGuire's, instead coercing him into signing a release and settlement agreement and accept a paltry sum of \$5,000.00 for what was a grievous injury.
- 22. That DULBERG suffered serious and substantial damages, not only as a result of the injury as set forth in the binding mediation award, but due to the direct actions of MAST and POPOVICH in urging DULBURG to release the McGuire's, lost the sum of well over \$300,000.00 which would not have occurred but for the acts of MAST and THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C.

WHEREFORE, your Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG prays this Honorable Court to enter judgment on such verdict as a jury of twelve (12) shall return, together with the costs of suit and such other and further relief as may be just, all in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Honorable Court.

Respectfully submitted by,

PAUL DULBERG, Plaintiff, by his attorneys THE GOOCH FIRM.

Thomas W. Gooch III

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY OF TWELVE (12) PERSONS.

Thomas W. Gooch, III

Thomas W. Gooch, III THE GOOCH FIRM 209 S. Main Street Wauconda, IL 60084

847-526-0110 ARDC No.: 3123355 gooch@goochfirm.com office@goochfirm.com

CONTRACT FOR LEGAL SERVICES

(hereinafter persons or ea	l agree to emp "my attorney") to r ntitles responsible fi	loy the LAW OFFICES epresent me in the prosect or causing me to suffer in	OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C. cution or settlement of my claim agains juries and damages on the day of the galaxy days are the days days days days days days days days
n my claim, consent.	My attorney agree The approval of ar	es to make no charge for ity settlement amount cann	legal services unless a recovery is made not be made without my knowledge and
ideo fees, rec	y attorney may nee not limited to, exp	results in more than one ed to incur reasonable ex enses such as accident re sician fees. I understand in rney's legal fee.	(1) trial and/or an appeal of a trial. I
lent ,		By:	J. TOPOVICH
te:		Date:	

	n my claim. consent. ne-third (33 % in nderstand m cluding, but dec fees, rec ttlement, in tent ent te: W. OFFICE 6 West Elm Henry, Illing	My attorney agree in my claim. The approval of an innerthird (33 1/3%) of my recommendation may attorney may need to fees, records fees, and physical feet. W. OFFICES OF THOMAS J. 6 West Elm Street. W. OFFICES OF THOMAS J. 6 West Elm Street. Henry, Illinois 60050	I agree to pay my attorney in consideration ne-third (33 1/3%) of my recovery from my claim by sufficient with the event my claim results in more than one nderstand my attorney may need to incur reasonable excluding, but not limited to, expenses such as accident reduced fees, records fees, and physician fees. I understand attlement, in addition to my attorney's legal fee. LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C. 6 West Elm Street Henry, Illinois 60050

STATE OF ILLINOIS

PAUL DULBERG

Plaintiff

DAVID GAGNON, Individually, and as Agent of CAROLINE MCGUIRE and BILL MCGUIRE, and CAROLINE MCGUIRE and BILL MCGURE, Individually,

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, by his attorneys, LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS I. POPOVICH, P.C., and complaining against the Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, Individually, and as Agent of CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and CAROLINE MoGUIRE and BILL MoGUIRE, individually, and states as follows:

Count 1

Paul Dulberg vs. David Gagnon, individually, and as Agent of Caroline and Bill McGnire

- On June 28, 2011, the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, lived in the City of McHenry.
- County of MoHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, Defendants CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE

lived, controlled, managed and maintained a single family home located at 1016 W. Elder

Avenue, in the City of McH BEING DISWISSED OR AN ORDER CHOMIIII, VINSHAM TO DEFAULT BEING ENTERED. BALL WITH THE BAY AND MARKE HILL IN THE CASE

CONFERENCE IN COURTROOM THIS CASE IS HEREBY SET FOR SCHEDULING BY LOCAL RULE 3,10

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

HOITON

Bar may result in the case NA NO CERSIMAJE

- 3. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was living and/or staying at his parent's frome at 1016 W. Elder Avenue, in the City of MoHenry, County of McHenry, Ellinois,
- On June 28, 2011, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE contracted, hired the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, to cut down, trim and/or maintain the trees and brush at their premises at 1016 W. Bider Avenue, in the City of McHenry, County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, and at the request and with the authority and permission of the Defendants CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and for their benefit, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was working under their supervision and control while engaged in cutting, trimining and maintaining trees and brush at the premises at 1016 W. Bider Avenue, in the City of McHenry, County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, as part of his work at the subject property, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was authorized, instructed, advised and permitted to use a chainsaw to assist him in his work for Defendants, CAROLINB McGUIRB and BILL McGUIRB, which was owned by the McGuires.
- 7. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was under the supervision and control of Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and was working as their apparent and actual agent, and was then acting and working in the scope of his agency for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE.

- 8. On June 28, 2011, and while the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was working in the course and scope of his agency for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL.

 McGUIRE, and was under their supervision and control, Defendant, DAVID GAGNON was in use of a chainsaw while triniming a tree and branch.
- 9. On June 28, 2011, and while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was in use of a chainsaw while trimming a tree and branch, Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, asked for and/or requested the assistance of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, to hold the tree branch while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, trimmed the branch with the chainsaw.
- 10. On June 28, 2011, and while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was in sole control, use and operation of the subject chainsaw, the chainsaw was caused to strike and injure the Plaintiff, PAUI: DULBERG
- At all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, knew of Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's use of the chainsaw in the presence of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG's safety.
- 12. That at all relevant times, the Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, as agent of CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, owed a duty to use care and caution in his operation of a known dangerous instrumentality.

- 13. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was negligent in one or more of the following ways:
 - A. Failed to maintain control over the operating of the chainsaw;
 - b. Failed to take precaution not to allow the chainsaw to move toward the Plaintiff,
 PAUL DULBERG, so as to cause injury;
 - o. Failed to warn the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, of the dangers existing from the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's inability to control the chainsaw;
 - d. Failed to keep a proper distance from the Plaintiff, RAUL DULBERG, while operating the chainsaw;
 - .c. ____Otherwise was negligent in operation and control of the chainsaw.
- DULBERG, was injured externally; he has experienced and will in the future experience pain and suffering; he has been permanently scarred and/or disabled; and has become obligated for large sums of money for medical bills and will in the future become obligated for additional sums of money for medical care, and has lost time from work and/or from earning wages due to such injury.
- 15. That at the above time and date, the Defendant's negligence can be inferred from the circumstances of the occurrence as the instrument of the injury was under the control of the Defendant and therefore, negligence can be presumed under the doctrine of Res Ipsa Loguitur.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, demands judgment against Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, and CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, plus costs of this action.

Count II

Paul Dulbergys, Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire

- 1. 15. That the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, restates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 14, in Count I, above, as paragraphs 1 through 15 of Count II, as if fully alleged herein.
- 16. That at all relevant times, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL.
 McGUIRE, owned, controlled, maintained and supervised the premises whereat the accident to
 the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, occurred.
- 17. That at all relevant times, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, were in control of and had the right to advise, instruct and demand that the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, act or work in a safe and reasonable manner.
- That at all relevant times, the Defendent, DAVID GAGNON, was acting as the agent, social and apparent, of Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and was acting at their request and in their best interests and to their benefit as in a joint enterprise.
- 19. That at all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, knew DAVID GAGNON was operating a chainsaw with the assistance of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, and had the right to discharge or terminate the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's work for any reason.
- 20: That at all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, owed a duty to supervise and control Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's activities on the property so as not to create a unreasonable hazard to others, including the Plaintiff, PUAL DULBERG.

- 21. On June 28, 2011, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE were negligent in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. . Falled to control operation of the chainsaw;
 - b. Failed to take precaution not to allow the chainsaw to move toward the Plaintiff
 PAUL DULBERG, so as to cause injury;
 - c. Failed to warn the Plaintiff. PAUL DULBERG, of the dangers existing from the Defendant's inability to control the chainsaw;
 - d. Failed to keep the chainsaw a proper distance from the Plaintiff, PAUL.

 DLILBERG, while operating the chainsaw;
 - e. Otherwise was negligent in operation and control of the chainsaw.
- DULBERG, was injured externally; he has experienced and will in the future experience pain and suffering; he has been permanently scarred and/or disabled; and has become obligated for large sums of meney for medical bills and will in the future become obligated for additional sums of money for medical care, and has lost time from work and/or from earning wages due to such injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, demands judgment against Defendants.

CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, in an amount in excess of \$50,000:00, plus costs of this action.

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS L POPOVICH, P.C.

One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hans A. Mast
LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS
3416 West Elm Street
Lake, Illinois 60050
(815) 344-3797
ARDC No. 05203684



The Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich P.C.

3416 W. Elm Street McHenry, Illinois 60050

TELEPHONE: 815.344.3797

FACSIMILE: 815.344.5280

www.popovichlaw.com

MARK J. VOGO JAMES P. TUTAJ ROBERT J. LUMBER THERESA M. PREBUAN

THOMAS J. POPOVICH HANS A. MAST JOHN A. KONNAK

January 24, 2014

Paul Dulberg 4606 Hayden Court MoHenry, IL 60051

RE: Paul Dulberg vs. David Gagnon, Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire McHenry County Case: 12 LA 178

Dear Paul:

Please find enclosed the General Release and Settlement Agreement from defense counsel for Caroline and Bill McGuire. Please Release and return it to me in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,



smq Enclosure



WAUKBOAN OPFICE 210 NORTH MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. AVENUE WAUKKOAN, IL 60085

GENERAL RELEASE AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

NOW COMES PAUL DULBERG, and in consideration of the payment of Five-Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars to him, by or on behalf of the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE (aka Bill McGuire; improperly named as Caroline McGuire) and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, the payment and receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, PAUL DULBERG does hereby release and discharge the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, and any agents or employees of the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, of and from any and all causes of action, claims and demands of whatsoever kind or nature including, but not limited to, any claim for personal injuries and property damage arising out of a certain chain saw incident that allegedly occurred on or about June 28, 2011, within and upon the premises known commonly as 1016 West Elder Avenue, City of McHenry, County of McHenry, State of Illinois.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that there is presently pending a cause of action in the Circuit Court of the 22nd Judicial Circuit, McHenry County, Illinois entitled "Paul Dulberg, Plaintiff, vs. David Gagnon, Individually, and as agent of Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire, and Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire, Individually, Defendants, Cause No. 2012 LA -178, and that this settlement is contingent upon WILLIAM McGUIRE and CAROLYN McGUIRE being dismissed with prejudice as parties to said lawsuit pursuant to a finding by the Circuit Court that the settlement between the parties constitutes a good faith settlement for purposes of the Illinois Joint Tortfeasor Contribution Act, 740 ILCS 100/0.01, et seq.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that as part of the consideration for this agreement the undersigned represents and warrants as follows (check applicable boxes):

	I was not 65 or older on th	le date of the annual
7	Y .	e and of the occurrence.

- I was not receiving SSI or SSDI on the date of the occurrence.
- I am not eligible to receive SSI or SSDI.
- I am not currently receiving SSI or SSDI.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD:

- That any subrogated claims or liens for medical expenses paid by or on a. behalf of PAUL DULBERG shall be the responsibility PAUL DULBERG, including, but not limited to, any Medicare liens. reimbursements of medical expenses to subrogated parties, including Medicare's rights of reimbursement, if any, shall be PAUL DULBERG's responsibility, and not the responsibility of the parties released herein.
- That any outstanding medical expenses are PAUL DULBERG's b. responsibility and all payment of medical expenses hereafter shall be PAUL DULBERG's responsibility, and not the responsibility of the parties released

c. That PAUL DULBERG agrees to save and hold harmless and indemnify the parties released herein against any claims made by any medical providers, including, but not limited to Medicare or parties subrogated to the rights to recover medical or Medicare payments.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD by the parties hereto that this agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties with regard to materials set forth herein, and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto, jointly and severally, and the executors, conservators, administrators, guardians, personal representatives, heirs and successors of each.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that this settlement is a compromise of a doubtful and disputed claim and no liability is admitted as a consequence hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal on the dates set forth

Dated:	
	PAUL DULBERG
STATE OF ILLING	DIS)
COUNTY OF MCI) SS. HENRY)
PAUL DUL executed the foregoi	BERG personally appeared before me this date and acknowledged that she ing Release and Settlement Agreement as his own free act and deed for the uses the therein.
and purposes set fort	in therein.
- 1	th therein. day of January, 2014.
- 4	medicities, and deed for the uses



	Binding Media	ation Award	
Paul Duiberg	}		
V.)	ADR Systems File #	33391BMAG
David Gagnon	}		•
Voluntary settlement	16, the matter was called for to, in Chicago, it. According to through negotiation could notich would be binding to the pows:	And agreer Hell GUIGLES	into by the parties, if a
Finding in favor of:	Paul Dul	berg	•
Gross Award:	\$ 660,000.	~	
Comparative fault:	,% (If applicab	ble)	
Net Award:	561,000	•	
Comments/Explanatio	11100	\$	60,000.
1000	are medical	<u> </u>	200,000,
Disp	ubge	<u> </u>	10,000,
~ / S	<u> </u>		75,000.
L N	L		75,000.
		-	

The Honorable James P. Etchingham, (Ret.)





SUMMONS - 30 DAY IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PAUL DULBURG Plaintiff(s) vs. HANS MAST AND THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS Case Number 17LA000377 Amount Claimed \$
Plaintiff(s) vs. HANS MAST AND THE LAW Case Number 17LA000377 OFFICES OF THOMAS Amount Claimed \$
HANS MAST AND THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS Case Number 17LA000377 Amount Claimed \$
HANS MAST AND THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS Case Number 17LA000377 Amount Claimed \$
HANS MAST AND THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS Case Number 17LA000377 Amount Claimed \$
OFFICES OF THOMAS Amount Claimed \$
POPOVICH
Defendant(s)
SUMMONS
To each Defendant: THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS POPOVICH
3416 W. ELM STREET
MCHENRY, IL 60050
Woodstock, Illinois, 60098, within 30 days after service of this summons, not counting the day of service. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT OR DECREE BY DEFAULT MAY BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF ASKED IN THE COMPLAINT. To the officer: This summons must be returned by the officer or other person to whom it was given for service, with endorsement of service and fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot be made, this summons shall be returned so endorsed. This summons may not be served later than 30 days after its date.
WDC/a-w- 11/00/01/7 Electronically Issued
DATE 11/28/2017 Elactronically issued County Cou
Plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff if he is not represented by an attorney
Plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff if he is not represented by an attorney Name_THOMAS W. GOOCH, III Prepared by THOMAS W. GOOCH, III
Plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff if he is not represented by an attorney Name THOMAS W. GOOCH, III Attorney for PLAINTIFF PAUL DULBERG Attorney Registration No. 3123355
Plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff if he is not represented by an attorney Name_THOMAS W. GOOCH, III Attorney for PLAINTIFF PAUL DULBERG Address 209 S. MAIN STREET PATTINITY 17411117461 Attorney Registration No. 3123355
Plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff if he is not represented by an attorney Name THOMAS W. GOOCH, III Attorney for PLAINTIFF PAUL DULBERG Attorney Registration No. 3123355

Sheriff of	Sheruff's fees	Service and return	\$	
Sheriff of		Miles		
A INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS - PERSONAL: The officer or other person making service, shall (a) lidentify as to sex, race and approximate age of the defendant with whom be loft the summons, and (b) state the place where (whenever possible in terms of an exact street address) and the date and time of the day when the summons was left with the defendant. (b) INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS - ABODE; By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint at the usual place of abode of each individual defendar with a person of his family, of the age of 13 years and upwards, informing that person of the contents of the summons. The officer or other person making service, shall (a) identify as to sex; race and approximate age of the person, other the defendant, with whom he left the summons, and (b) state the place where (whenever possible in terms of an exact street address) and the date and time of day when the summons was left with such person. and also by sending a copy of the summons and of the complaint in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid, addressed to each individual defendant at his usual place of abode, as follows: Name of Defendant Mailing Address Date of Mailing (c) CORPORATION DEFENDANTS: By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint with the registered agent, officer or agent of each defendant corporation, as follows: Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE		Total Sheriff		
1 CERTIFY THAT I SERVED THIS SUMMONS ON DEFENDANTS AS FOLLOWS: (a) INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS - PERSONAL: The officer or other person making service, shall (a) identify as to sax, race and approximate age of the defendant with whom he left the summons, and (b) state the place where (whenever possible in terms of an exact street address) and the date and time of the day when the summons was left with the defendant. (b) INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS - ABODE; By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint at the usual place of abode of each individual defendant with a person of his family, of the age of 13 years and upwards, informing that person of the contents of the summons the officer or other person making service, shall (a) identify as to sex, race and approximate age of the person, other the defendant, with whom he left the summons, and (b) state the place where (whenever possible in terms of an exact street address) and the date and time of day when the summons was left with such person. and also by sending a copy of the summons and of the complaint in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid, addressed to each individual defendant at his usual place of abode, as follows: Name of Defendant Mailing Address Date of Mailing (c) CORPORATION DEFENDANTS: By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint with the registered agent, officer or agent of each defendant corporation, as follows: Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE		Sheriff of		County
The officer or other person making service, shall (a) identify as to sax, race and approximate age of the defendant with whom he left the summons, and (b) state the place where (whenever possible in terms of an exact street address) and the date and time of the day when the summons was left with the defendant. (b) INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS – ABODE: By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint at the usual place of abode of each individual defendant with a person of his family, of the age of 13 years and upwards, informing that person of the contents of the summons. The officer or other person making service, shall (a) identify as to sex, race and approximate age of the person, other the defendant, with whom he left the summons, and (b) state the place where (whenever possible in terms of an exact street address) and the date and time of day when the summons was left with such person. and also by sending a copy of the summons and of the complaint in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid, addressed to each individual defendant at his usual place of abode, as follows: Name of Defendant Mailing Address Date of Mailing (c) CORPORATION DEFENDANTS: By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint with the registered agent, officer or agent of each defendant corporation, as follows: Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE	I CERTIFY THAT I SERVE			
By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint at the usual place of abode of each individual defendation and a person of his family, of the age of 13 years and upwards, informing that person of the contents of the summons. The officer or other person making service, shall (a) identify as to sex, race and approximate age of the person, other the defendant, with whom he left the summons, and (b) state the place where (whenever possible in terms of an exact street address) and the date and time of day when the summons was left with such person. and also by sending a copy of the summons and of the complaint in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid, addressed to each individual defendant at his usual place of abode, as follows: Name of Defendant Mailing Address Date of Mailing (c) CORPORATION DEFENDANTS: By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint with the registered agent, officer or agent of each defendant corporation, as follows: Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE By:	The officer or other person making whom he left the summons, and	ng service, shall (a) identify a (b) state the place where (whe	never possible in terms of a	ate age of the defendant with n exact street address) and
Addressed to each individual defendant at his usual place of abode, as follows: Name of Defendant Mailing Address Date of Mailing (c) CORPORATION DEFENDANTS: By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint with the registered agent, officer or agent of each defendant corporation, as follows: Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE	By leaving a copy of the summo with a person of his family, of th The officer or other person maki the defendant, with whom he left	ns and a copy of the complain e age of 13 years and upwards ng service, shall-(a) identify as the summons, and (b) state th	s, informing that person of the to sex, race and approxima se place where (whenever po	te contents of the summons.
Addressed to each individual defendant at his usual place of abode, as follows: Name of Defendant Mailing Address Date of Mailing CORPORATION DEFENDANTS: By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint with the registered agent, officer or agent of each defendant corporation, as follows: Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE	and also by sending a compact the			
By leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint with the registered agent, officer or agent of each defendant corporation, as follows: Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE	addressed to each individual defe	endant at his usual place of abo	ode, as follows:	
Defendant Corporation Registered Agent, Officer or Agent Date of Service (d) OTHER SERVICE	By leaving a copy of the summor	as and a copy of the complaint	with the registered agent, o	fficer or agent of each
			gent, Officer or Agent	Date of Service
By:	(d) OTHER SERVICE			
				· - · · · - · · - · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I CV-SUM9: Revised 02/20/14			, Deputy

Page 2 of 2

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Katherine M. Keefe

PA Plai	UL DULBERG		Clerk of the Circuit Court ******Electronically Filed**** Transaction ID: 17111117451 17LA000377	
vs.			11/28/2017 McHenry County, Illinois 22nd Judicial Circuit **********************	
	NS MAST & LAW OFC T. POPOVICH	Case Number	<u> </u>	
	CERTIFICAT	TE OF ATTORNEY		
I he	ereby certify that:			
V	There has been no previous voluntary or litigation.			
	There has been a previous voluntary or involuntary dismissal of the subject matter of this			
	litigation and at the time of the dismissa assigned to The Honorable		was	
	There is no other litigation presently pending in this County involving the parties to and/or subject matter of this lawsuit.			
	There is presently pending other litigation	on in this County involving the	parties to or subject	
	matter to this lawsuit and that case or cas		-	
		which is/are assigned to The l	Honorable	
Name	THOMAS W. GOOCH, III	Flow W. Y.	n	
Attor	ney for PLAINTIFF/PAUL DULBERG	Attorney for Complai	nant or Petitioner	
Addro	ess 209 S. MAIN STREET	· 		
City,	State Zip WAUCONDA, IL 60084	· 		
Phone	847-526-0110			

CV-CRT4 (revised 12/01/06) Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 85-7

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT MoHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PAUL DULBERG,)		Clerk of the Circuit Court ****Electronically Filed*** Transaction ID: 171 11117451
Plaintiff,)		17LA000377 11/28/2017 McHenry County, Illinois 22nd Indicial Circuit ************************************
v .)	_{No.} _17LA000377	
THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,)		
Defendant.)		

RULE 222 (b) AFFIDAVIT

Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 222(b), counsel for the above-named Plaintiff certifies that plaintiff seeks money damages in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00)

Thomas W. Gooch, III Attorney for Plaintiff

THOMAS W. GOOCH, III
THE GOOCH FIRM
209 South Main Street
Wauconda, IL 60084
847-526-0110
gooch@goochfirm.com
ARDC: 3123355

STATE OF ILLINOIS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 22nd JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY

PAUL DULBERG		**********
Plaintiff	471 406	20077
Vs.	Case Number 17LA00	JU3 <i>11</i>
HANS MAST &LAW OFC POPOVICH		
Defendant		
1111	NY INDIA A A BID	
JUL	RY DEMAND	
The undersigned demands a jury trial, by	jury of twelve (12) persons
	Alow W. Signature	<u>Q</u>
	Date November 28	20 17
Name THOMAS W. GOOCH, III		
Attorney for PLAINTIFF/PAUL DULBERG		
Address 209 S. MAIN STREET		
City, State Zip WAUCONDA, IL 60084	•	
Phone 847-526-0110		
CV-JRD1 (Revised 12/01/06)		

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

PAUL DULBERG, Plaintiff,	Clerk of the Chrush Court	
v.) No17LA000377	
THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., and HANS MAST,) NOTICE) THIS CASE IS HEREBY SET FOR A	
	SCHEDULING CONFERENCE IN COURTROOM 201 ON 02/27/2018 , AT 9:00 AM FAILURE TO APPEAR MAY RESULT IN THE CASE BEING DISMISSED OR AN ORDER OF DEFAULT BEING ENTERED.	

COMES NOW your Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG (hereinafter also referred to as

"DULBERG"), by and through his attorneys, THE GOOCH FIRM, and as and for his Complaint against THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C. (hereinafter also referred to as "POPOVICH"), and HANS MAST (hereinafter also referred to as "MAST"), states the following:

- 1. Your Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, is a resident of McHenry County, Illinois, and was such a resident at all times complained of herein.
- 2. Your Defendant, THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., is a law firm operating in McHenry County, Illinois, and transacting business on a regular and daily basis in McHenry County, Illinois.
- 3. Your Defendant, HANS MAST, is either an agent, employee, or partner of THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C. MAST is a licensed attorney in the State of Illinois, and was so licensed at all times relevant to this Complaint.

- 4. That due to the actions and status of MAST in relation to POPOVICH, the actions and inactions of MAST are directly attributable to his employer, partnership, or principal, being THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPVICH, P.C.
- 5. Venue is therefore claimed proper in McHenry County, Illinois, as the Defendants transact substantial and regular business in and about McHenry County in the practice of law, where their office is located.
- 6. On or about June 28, 2011, your Plaintiff, DULBERG was involved in a horrendous accident, having been asked by his neighbors Caroline McGuire and William McGuire, in assisting a David Gagnon in the cutting down of a tree on the McGuire property. DULBERG lived in the neighborhood.
- 7. At this time, Gagnon lost control of the chainsaw he was using causing it to strike DULBERG. This caused substantial and catastrophic injuries to DULBERG, including but not \lambda limited to great pain and suffering, current as well as future medical expenses, in an amount in excess of \$260,000.00, along with lost wages in excess of \$250,000.00, and various other damages.
- 8. In May of 2012, DULBERG retained THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., pursuant to a written retainer agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 9. A copy of the Complaint filed by MAST on his own behalf, and on behalf of DULBERG, is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, and the allegations of that Complaint are fully incorporated into this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. An implied term of the retainer agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, was that at all times, the Defendants would exercise their duty of due care towards their client and conform their acts and actions within the standard of care every attorney owes his client.

- 11. That as <u>Exhibit B</u> reveals, Defendants property filed suit against not only the operator of the chain saw, but also his principals, Caroline McGuire and William McGuire, who purportedly were supervising him in his work on the premises.
- 12. At the time of filing of the aforesaid Complaint, MAST certified pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 137, that he had made a diligent investigation of the facts and circumstances around the Complaint he filed, and further had ascertained the appropriate law. MAST evidently believed a very good and valid cause of action existed against Caroline McGuire and William McGuire.
- or early 2014, when MAST met with DULBERG and other family members and advised them—there was no cause of action against William McGuire and Caroline McGuire, and told DULBERG he had no choice but to execute a release in favor of the McGuire's for the sum of \$5,000.00. DULBERG, having no choice in the matter, reluctantly agreed with MAST and to accept the sum of \$5,000.00 releasing not only William and Caroline McGuire, but also Auto-Owners Insurance Company from any further responsibility or liability in the matter. A copy of the aforesaid general release and settlement agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 14. MAST and POPOVICH continued to represent DULBERG through to and including March of 2015, following which DULBERG and the Defendants terminated their relationship.
- 15. Continuously throughout the period of representation, MAST and POPOVICH represented repeatedly to DULBERG there was no possibility of any liability against William and/or Caroline McGuire and/or Auto-Owners Insurance Company, and lulled DULBERG into believing that the matter was being properly handled. Then, due to a claimed failure of communication, MAST and POPOVICH withdrew from the representation of DULBERG.

- 16. Thereafter, DULBERG retained other attorneys and proceeded to a binding mediation before a retired Circuit Judge, where DULBERG received a binding mediation award of \$660,000.00 in gross, and a net award of \$561,000.00. Unfortunately, a "high-low agreement" had been executed by DULBERG, reducing the maximum amount he could recover to \$300,000.00 based upon the insurance policy available. The award was substantially more than that sum of money, and could have been recovered from McGuire's had they not been dismissed from the Complaint. A copy of the aforesaid Mediation Award is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 17. The McGuire's were property owners and had property insurance covering injuries or losses on their property, as well as substantial personal assets, including the property location where the accident took-place at 1016 West Elder Avenue, in the City of McHenry, Illinois.

 McGuire's were well able to pay all, or a portion of the binding mediation award had they still remained parties.
- 18. DULBURG, in his relationship with POPOVICH and MAST, cooperated in all ways with them, furnishing all necessary information as required, and frequently conferred with them.
- 19. Until the time of the mediation award, DULBURG had no reason to believe he could not recover the full amount of his injuries, based on POPOVICH'S and MAST'S representations to DULBERG that he could recover the full amount of his injuries from Gagnon, and that the inclusion of the McGuire's would only complicate the case.
- 20. Following the execution of the mediation agreement with the "high-low agreement" contained therein, and the final mediation award, DULBURG realized for the first time that the information MAST and POPOVICH had given DULBERG was false and misleading, and that in fact, the dismissal of the McQuire's was a serious and substantial mistake. Following the

mediation, DULBERG was advised to seek an independent opinion from an attorney handling Legal Malpractice matters, and received that opinion on or about December 16, 2016.

- 21. MAST and POPOVICH, jointly and severally, breached the duties owed DULBURG by violating the standard of care owed DULBERG in the following ways and respects:
- a) Failed to take such actions as were necessary during their representation of DULBERG to fix liability against the property owners of the subject property (the McGuire's) who employed Gagnon, and sought the assistance of DULBERG;
- b) Failed to thoroughly investigate liability issues against property owners of the subject property;
- c) Failed to conduct necessary discovery, so as to fix the liability of the property owners to DULBERG;
- d) Failed to understand the law pertaining to a property owner's rights, duties and responsibilities to someone invited onto their property;
- e) Improperly urged DULBURG to accept a nonsensical settlement from the property owners, and dismissed them from all further responsibility;
- f) Failed to appreciate and understand further moneys could not be received as against Gagnon, and that the McGuire's and their obvious liability were a very necessary party to the litigation;
- g) Falsely advised DULBURG throughout the period of their representation, that the actions taken regarding the McGuire's was proper in all ways and respects, and that DULBURG had no choice but to accept the settlement;

- h) Failed to properly explain to DULBURG all ramifications of accepting the McGuire settlement, and giving him the option of retaining alternative counsel to review the matter;
- i) Continually reassured DULBURG that the course of action as to the property owners was proper and appropriate;
- j) Were otherwise negligent in their representation of DULBERG, concealing from him necessary facts for DULBURG to make an informed decision as to the McGuire's, instead coercing him into signing a release and settlement agreement and accept a paltry sum of \$5,000.00 for what was a grievous injury.
- 22. That DULBERG suffered serious and substantial damages, not only as a result of the injury as set forth in the binding mediation award, but due to the direct actions of MAST and POPOVICH in urging DULBURG to release the McGuire's, lost the sum of well over \$300,000.00 which would not have occurred but for the acts of MAST and THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C.

WHEREFORE, your Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG prays this Honorable Court to enter judgment on such verdict as a jury of twelve (12) shall return, together with the costs of suit and such other and further relief as may be just, all in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Honorable Court.

Respectfully submitted by,

PAUL DULBERG, Plaintiff, by his attorneys THE GOOCH FIRM,

Thomas W. Gooch, III

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY OF TWELVE (12) PERSONS.

Thomas W. Gooch, III

Thomas W. Gooch, III THE GOOCH FIRM 209 S. Main Street Wauconda, IL 60084

847-526-0110

ARDC No.: 3123355 gooch@goochfirm.com office@goochfirm.com

CONTRACT FOR LEGAL SERVICES

(hereinafter persons or e	I agree to employ the "my attorney") to representities responsible for cau	ne LAW OFFICE ent me in the prose using me to suffer in	S OF THOMAS Jucution or settlement of demages	POPOVICH, P.C. of my claim against on the day of
in my claim. consent.	My attorney agrees to The approval of any sett	make no charge for tlement amount can	legal services unles not be made withou	s a recovery is made t my knowledge and
including, but video fees, rea	I agree to pay my attor 1/3%) of my recovery fithe event my claim result y attorney may need to not limited to, expenses cords fees, and physician addition to my attorney	lts in more than or incur reasonable e such as accident r	ne (1) trial and/or ar xpenses in properly	us will increase to 1 appeal of a trial. I
Chent	Dulley	LAW OFFI	ES OF THOMAS	J. POPOVICH
Client	The state of the s	By:		
Date:		Date;	• .	
LAW OFFICE 3416 West Elm McHenry, Illine 815/344-3797	ES OF THOMAS J. PO Street ois 60050	Povich, P.C.		*

STATE OF ILLINOIS

PAUL DULBERG

Plaintiff

DAVID GAGNON, Individually, and as Agent of CAROLINE MCGUIRE and BILL MCGUIRE, and CAROLINE MCGUIRE and BILL MCGUIRE, Individually.

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, by his attorneys, LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C., and complaining against the Defendents, DAVID GAGNON, Individually, and as Agent of CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and CAROLINE MoGUIRB and BILL McGUIRE, individually, and states as follows;

Count I

Paul Dulberg vs. David Gagnon, individually, and as Agent of Caroline and Bill McGuire

· On June 28, 2011, the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, lived in the City of MoHenry.

County of McHenry, Illinois.

Avenue, in the City of McHell

On June 28, 2011, Defendants CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE

lived, controlled, managed and maintained a single family home located at 1016 W. Elder

BEING DISMISSED OR AN ORDER SOCIETY (ALCOHOLY SO MAIN Derault being entered. PAILURE TO APPEAR MAY REBULT IN THE GASE

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

CONFERENCE IN COURTROOM THIS CASE IS HEREBY SET FOR SCHEDULING

BY LOCAL RULE 3,10 SOLLON

Lure to appear may result in the case ORDER OF he bishissed on an

- 3. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was living and/or staying a his parent's home at 1016 W. Elder Avenue, in the City of MoHenry, County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE contracted, hired the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, to cut down, trim and/or maintain the trees and brush at their premises at 1016 W. Bider Avenue, in the City of McHenry, County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, and at the request and with the authority and permission of the Defendants CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and for their benefit, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was working under their supervision and control while engaged in cutting, trimining and maintaining trees and brush at the premises at 1016 W. Elder Avenue, in the City of McHenry, County of McHenry, Illinois.
- On June 28, 2011, as part of his work at the subject property, the Defendant,

 DAVID GAGNON, was authorized, instructed, advised and permitted to use a chainsaw to assist
 him in his work for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, which was owned
 by the McGuires.
- 7. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was under the supervision and control of Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and was working as their apparent and actual agent, and was then acting and working in the scope of his agency for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE.

- 8. On June 28, 2011, and while the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was working in the course and scope of his agency for Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL.

 McGUIRE, and was under their supervision and control, Defendant, DAVID GAGNON was in use of a chainsaw while trickning a tree and branch.
- 9. On June 28, 2011, and while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was in use of a chainsaw while trimming a tree and branch, Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, asked for and/or requested the assistance of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, to hold the tree branch while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, trimmed the branch with the chainsaw.
- 10. On June 28, 2011, and while Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was in sole control, use and operation of the subject chainsaw, the chainsaw was caused to strike and injure the Plaintiff, PAUE DULBERG.
- At all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, knew of Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's use of the chainsaw in the presence of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG's PAUL DULBERG, and knew that such created a danger to the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG's safety.
- 12. That at all relevant times, the Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, as agent of CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, owed a duty to use care and caution in his operation of a known dangerous instrumentality.

- 13. On June 28, 2011, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was negligent in one or more of the following ways:
 - A. Failed to maintain control over the operating of the chainsaw;
 - b. Failed to take precaution not to allow the chainsaw to move toward the Rightiff,
 PAUL DULBERG, so as to cause injury;
 - o. Failed to warn the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, of the dangers existing from the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's inability to control the chainsew;
 - d. Failed to keep a proper distance from the Plaintiff, RAUL DULBERG, while operating the chainsaw;
 - .c. ____Otherwise was negligent in operation and control of the chainsaw.
- DULBERG, was injured externally; he has experienced and will in the future experience pain and suffering; he has been permanently scarred and/or disabled; and has become obligated for large sums of money for medical bills and will in the future become obligated for additional sums of money for medical care, and has lost time from work and/or from earning wages due to such injury.
- 15. That at the above time and date, the Defendant's negligence can be inferred from the circumstances of the occurrence as the instrument of the injury was under the control of the Defendant and therefore, negligence can be presumed under the doctrine of Res Ipsa Loquitur.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, demands judgment against Defendants, DAVID GAGNON, and CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, plus costs of this action.

Count II

Paul Dulbergus, Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire

- 1-15. That the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, restates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 14, in Count I, above, as paragraphs 1 through 15 of Count II, as if fully alleged heroin.
- 16. That at all relevant times, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL. McGUIRE, owned, controlled, maintained and supervised the premises whereat the accident to the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, occurred.
- 17. That at all relevant fixies, the Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, were in control of and had the right to advise, instruct and demand that the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, act or work in a safe and reasonable manner.
- That at all relevant times, the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON, was acting as the agent, actual and apparent, of Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, and was acting at their request and in their best interests and to their benefit as in a joint enterprise.
- 19. That at all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, knew DAVID GAGNON was operating a chainsaw with the assistance of the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, and had the right to discharge or terminate the Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's work for any reason.
- That at all relevant times, Defendants, CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE, owed a duty to supervise and control Defendant, DAVID GAGNON's activities on the property so as not to create a unreasonable hazard to others, including the Plaintiff, PUAL DULBERG.

- 21. On June 28, 2011, the Defendants, CAROLINE MOGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE were negligent in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Palled to control operation of the chainsaw;
 - b. Failed to take precaution not to allow the chainsaw to move toward the Plaintiff,
 PAUL DULBERG, so as to cause injury;
 - o. Failed to warn the Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, of the dangers existing from the Defendant's inability to control the chainsaw;
 - d. Failed to keep the chainsaw a proper distance from the Plaintiff, PAUL DLILBERG, while operating the chainsaw;
 - e ____ Otherwise was negligent in operation and control of the chainsaw.
- DULBERG, was injured externally; he has experienced and will in the future experience pain and suffering; he has been permanently sourced and/or disabled; and has become obligated for large sums of mency for medical bills and will in the future become obligated for additional sums of money for medical care, and has lost time from work and/or from earning wages due to such injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PAUL DULBERG, demands judgment against Defendants.

CAROLINE McGUIRE and BILL McGUIRE; in an amount in excess of \$50,000:00, plus costs of this action.

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS I POPOVICH, P.C.

One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hans A. Mast
LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. POPOVICH, P.C.
3416 West Him Street
Lake, Illinois 60050
(815) 344-3797
ARDC No. 65203684



The Law Offices of Thomas J. Popovich P.C.

3416 W. Elm Street McHenry, Illinois 60050 Telephone: 815.344.3797 Facsimile: 815.344.5280

www.popovichlaw.com

Mark J. Voqo James P. Tutaj Robert J. Lumber Theresa M. Presman

THOMAS J. POPOVICH HANS A. MAST JOHN A. KORMAR

January 24, 2014

Paul Dulberg 4606 Hayden Court McHenry, IL 60051

RE: Paul Dulberg vs. David Gagnon, Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire McHenry County Case: 12 LA 178

Dear Paul:

Please find enclosed the General Release and Settlement Agreement from defense counsel for Caroline and Bill McGuire. Please Release and return it to me in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,







<u>Waukegan Oppels</u> 210 North Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue Waukegan, IL 60085

GENERAL RELEASE AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

NOW COMES PAUL DULBERG, and in consideration of the payment of Five-Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars to him, by or on behalf of the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE (aka Bill McGuire; improperly named as Caroline McGuire) and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, the payment and receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, PAUL DULBERG does hereby release and discharge the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, and any agents or employees of the WILLIAM MCGUIRE and CAROLYN MCGUIRE and AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, of and from any and all causes of action, claims and demands of whatsoever kind or nature including, but not limited to, any claim for personal injuries and property damage arising out of a certain chain saw incident that allegedly occurred on or about June 28, 2011, within and upon the premises known commonly as 1016 West Elder Avenue, City of McHenry, County of McHenry, State of Illinois.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that there is presently pending a cause of action in the Circuit Court of the 22nd Judicial Circuit, McHenry County, Illinois entitled "Paul Dulberg, Plaintiff, vs. David Gagnon, Individually, and as agent of Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire, and Caroline McGuire and Bill McGuire, Individually, Defendants", Cause No. 2012 LA—178, and that this settlement is contingent upon WILLIAM McGUIRE and CAROLYN McGUIRE being dismissed with prejudice as parties to said lawsuit pursuant to a finding by the Circuit Court that the settlement between the parties constitutes a good faith settlement for purposes of the Illinois Joint Tortfeasor Contribution Act, 740 ILCS 100/0.01, et seq.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that as part of the consideration for this agreement the undersigned represents and warrants as follows (check applicable boxes):

I was not 65 or older on the date of the occurrence.
I was not receiving SSI or SSDI on the date of the occurrence
I am not eligible to receive SSI or SSDI.
I am not currently receiving SSI or SSDI.
 •

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD:

- a. That any subrogated claims or liens for medical expenses paid by or on behalf of PAUL DULBERG shall be the responsibility PAUL DULBERG, including, but not limited to, any Medicare liens. Any and all reimbursements of medical expenses to subrogated parties, including Medicare's rights of reimbursement, if any, shall be PAUL DULBERG's responsibility, and not the responsibility of the parties released herein.
- b. That any outstanding medical expenses are PAUL DULBERG's responsibility and all payment of medical expenses hereafter shall be PAUL DULBERG's responsibility, and not the responsibility of the parties released

o. That PAUL DULBERO agrees to save and hold harmless and indemnify the parties released herein against any claims made by any medical providers, including, but not limited to Medicare or parties subrogated to the rights to recover medical or Medicare payments.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD by the parties hereto that this agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties with regard to materials set forth herein, and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto, jointly and severally, and the executors, conservators, administrators, guardians, personal representatives, heirs and successors of each.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED AND UNDERSTOOD that this settlement is a compromise of a doubtful and disputed claim and no liability is admitted as a consequence hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal on the dates set forth

=-	Dated:
	PAUL DULBERG
	STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUN	COUNTY OF MCHENRY) SS.
	PAUL DULBERG personally appeared before me this date and acknowledged that she executed the foregoing Release and Settlement Agreement as his own free act and deed for the uses and purposes set forth therein.
	Dated this day of January, 2014.
	Notary Public



Binding Mediation Award

Paul Duiberg	}		
V ;) } }	ADR Systems File #	33391BMAG
David Gagnon	}		•
On December 8, 2016, the m P. Etchingham, (Ret.), in Chic Voluntary settlement through settlement eward which wou mediator finds as follows:	Manufinta	mie gåreettietit euteled	into by the parties. If a
Finding in favor of:	aul Dul	berg	•
Gross Award: \$66	0,000.	7	
Comparative fault:	% (if applicab	ole)	
Not Assembly \$ 56	1	•	

Comments/Explanation Medical \$ 60,000.

Future medical \$ 200,000,

Lost ware \$ 250,000,

PLS 75,000.

The ionerable James P. Etchingham, (Ret.)

ADR Systems • 20 North Clark Street • Floor 25 • Chicago, IL 60602 312.980.2266 • Info@adrsystems.com • www.adrsystems.com

