

**Date : 6/10/2023 7:30:18 AM**

**From : "Paul Dulberg"**

**To : "Alphonse Talarico"**

**Cc : "Tom Kost"**

**BCc : "Paul Dulberg"**

**Subject : Re: Response brief Dulberg v. Baudin et al 2022L010905**

Does Judge Otto allow this:

- A. Some judges may require the parties to hyperlink, within the body of the brief, to their attached exhibits and to the cited cases. The judge's case coordinator can provide additional information.**

On Jun 10, 2023, at 7:24 AM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

I'm just waking up, are you saying the courts rules don't allow us to respond with the facts because there are too many?

On Jun 10, 2023, at 7:21 AM, Alphonse Talarico  
<contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

Gentlemen ,

Please see the attached General Order Law Division (Page 7 only)  
limiting Response Briefs to 15 pages as I previously noted in verbal  
communications.

Our Response, without incorporating yesterdays changes/additions is  
already 23 pages.

A solution to consider is review the Response and edit any unnecessary  
wording or topics.

Another solution is to file a motion for permission to increase the page  
limit of the Response.

I have been working non stop all month on your three litigation cases  
and, after the experience of the last two months, i request a payment  
of \$12, 000.00 be made today, so that I will not have to wait to July  
(the payment in June was related to work for the month in the month  
of May.

As always thank you for the confidence you have shown in litigation  
your very serious and complicated matters.

Your anticipated cooperation is greatly appreciated,  
Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

<General Orders Law Division 61892a0c-1207-4a8c-8e45-  
21c9161dbb25.pdf>