

Date : 7/6/2021 9:02:41 PM

From : "Paul Dulberg"

To : "Alphonse Talarico"

BCc : "Paul Dulberg"

Subject : Last page of complaint

**Attachment : Dulberg Def Doc Production POP 00001-001455 OCR (dragged).pdf;
ATT00002.bin; Neither Limited nor Simplified A Proposal for Reform of Illinois.pdf;
ATT00004.bin;**

Dear Mr Talarico,

I've been doing some studying and sometimes I find things and sometimes I'm wrong.

Please look at the attached pdf of the last page of the complaint named Dulberg Def Doc Production POP 00001-001455 OCR (dragged).pdf

Now I'm confused the affidavit says not to exceed and the complaint says in excess of.

Time for me to play devils advocate here and research why.

Could this be a strategy of some sort to take advantage of the expedited discovery of rule 222b while keeping the case open to more than \$50,000?

I found the attached PDF named:

Neither Limited nor Simplified A Proposal for Reform of Illinois.pdf

This may support a strategy defense to the affidavit but it seems a bit of a gamble if the client isn't aware they could end up limited if the Judge doesn't at some point throw out the affidavit.

It seems that the defendants should have caught this and if they don't, well it is what it is

I am not sure what to make of this and I still can't believe that an affidavit can be signed on behalf of someone else even though I find nothing in the statutes yet that says an attorney may not sign the affidavit on behalf of the client.

Well, my investigation continues...

Hope this helps

Paul

