

**Date : 1/6/2024 11:52:32 AM**  
**From : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**To : "Paul Dulberg" , "Paul Dulberg" , "T Kost"**  
**Subject : Preamble**

Gentlemen,

Please use the word "Preamble".

PREAMBLE: Much of the matter that follows can be characterized as fraud by officers of the court. Currently there are nine (9) related ARDC investigations pending (#2023INO2517, #2023INO2518, #2023INO3135, #2023INO3136, #2023INO3894-R, #2023INO, 2023INO3898-R, #2023INO3897-R, 2023INO3895-R, #2023 INO3896-R), two (2) submitted Judicial Inquiry Board "Complaints against a Judge," and one (1) Judiciary Inquiry Board "Complaint against a Judge" that was unable to be processed because the individual named is no longer an active Illinois state court judge.

The events of this matter occurred over a period of time in excess of 14 years and the Record on Appeal , with at least two known dates missing from the file, is currently equal to or greater than two thousand six hundred and sixty pages (2660).

This matter was hampered not only by the fraud by officers of the court but also by the traumatic life events that befell Plaintiff/Appellant Paul Dulberg but also his attorney as follows:

1. the unexpected death of key witness, lifelong friend and live-in caretaker Michael Mc Artor;
2. the disappearance of, false arrest and medieval interrogations , imprisonment and, by law, lack of the ability to consult with an attorney, nor contact anyone of Plaintiff/Appellant's attorney Alphonse A. Talarico's fiancé during a scheduled stopover in Tokyo, Japan on the way to O'Hare International Airport, Illinois.

The Appellate Court was made aware of each traumatic life event through motions for extension of time and other related and consequential motion practice but culminated in the order that ended this matter before the Appellate Court. (A )

The history of this matter are as follows:

**Date : 1/6/2024 11:52:35 AM**  
**From : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**To : "Paul Dulberg" , "Paul Dulberg" , "T Kost"**  
**Subject : Preamble**

Gentlemen,

Please use the word "Preamble".

PREAMBLE: Much of the matter that follows can be characterized as fraud by officers of the court. Currently there are nine (9) related ARDC investigations pending (#2023INO2517, #2023INO2518, #2023INO3135, #2023INO3136, #2023INO3894-R, #2023INO, 2023INO3898-R, #2023INO3897-R, 2023INO3895-R, #2023 INO3896-R), two (2) submitted Judicial Inquiry Board "Complaints against a Judge," and one (1) Judiciary Inquiry Board "Complaint against a Judge" that was unable to be processed because the individual named is no longer an active Illinois state court judge.

The events of this matter occurred over a period of time in excess of 14 years and the Record on Appeal , with at least two known dates missing from the file, is currently equal to or greater than two thousand six hundred and sixty pages (2660).

This matter was hampered not only by the fraud by officers of the court but also by the traumatic life events that befell Plaintiff/Appellant Paul Dulberg but also his attorney as follows:

1. the unexpected death of key witness, lifelong friend and live-in caretaker Michael Mc Artor;
2. the disappearance of, false arrest and medieval interrogations , imprisonment and, by law, lack of the ability to consult with an attorney, nor contact anyone of Plaintiff/Appellant's attorney Alphonse A. Talarico's fiancé during a scheduled stopover in Tokyo, Japan on the way to O'Hare International Airport, Illinois.

The Appellate Court was made aware of each traumatic life event through motions for extension of time and other related and consequential motion practice but culminated in the order that ended this matter before the Appellate Court. (A )

The history of this matter are as follows:

**Date : 1/8/2024 10:51:44 AM**  
**From : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**To : "Paul Dulberg" , "Paul Dulberg" , "T Kost"**  
**Subject : Illinois Supreme Court Rule 315(c)(3) continued**

2. Article XI Illinois Code of Judicial Conduct was violated:  
Rule 1.2 Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary;  
Rule 2.2 Impartiality and fairness;  
Rule 2.3 Bias, Prejudice and Harassment;  
Rule 2.11 Disqualification.

**Date : 1/8/2024 4:40:44 PM**  
**From : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**To : "Alphonse Talarico" , "Tom Kost"**  
**BCc : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Subject : Draft B5**  
**Attachment : Draft B5 of Petition for leave to appeal - Supreme Court.indd; ATT00002.txt;**  
**Draft B5 of Petition for leave to appeal - Supreme Court.pdf; ATT00004.txt;**

Mr Talarico, See attached PDF of B5 for latest version. Pay no attention to the indd file.

Tom, see attached INDD file. you have master for hyperlinks to appendix. Mr Talarico said we have till midnight for electronic filing and he is finding the 7-25-2023 proposed order for us.

**Date :** 1/9/2024 1:37:16 PM  
**From :** "Alphonse Talarico"  
**To :** "Paul Dulberg" , "Paul Dulberg" , "T. Kost"  
**Subject :** Fw: Filing Returned for Envelope Number: 25884372 in Case: 25884372, for filing Petitions - PLA Filed

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** no-reply@efilingmail.tylertech.cloud <no-reply@efilingmail.tylertech.cloud>  
**To:** "alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com" <alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 9, 2024 at 09:38:37 AM CST  
**Subject:** Filing Returned for Envelope Number: 25884372 in Case: 25884372, for filing Petitions - PLA Filed



## Filing Returned

Envelope Number: 25884372  
 Case Number: 25884372  
 Case Style:

The filing below has been reviewed and has been returned for the reasons outlined below. Please, contact the appropriate court help center for further information.

| Return Reason(s) from Clerk's Office |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Court</b>                         | File & Serve  |
| <b>Returned Reason</b>               | Transaction rejected  |
| <b>Returned Comments</b>             | <p>Your document cannot be filed in its current format. Please correct the following deficiencies and resubmit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 341(c), a certificate of compliance must state that your petition is ___ number of pages OR words (Limited to 20 pages or 6,000 words);</li> <li>(2) You must include the Appellate Court order with your petition for leave to appeal.</li> <li>(3) Your appendix should not be listed within your petition for leave to appeal. Further, it does not appear that any of your documents listed in your appendix were attached to your petition for leave to appeal.</li> <li>(4) Since you are representing Mr. Paul R. Dulberg, your signature must be on all documents. Mr. Dulberg's signature is not required.</li> <li>(5) Further, since your petition was due on January 8, 2024, you must now submit for filing a "motion for leave to file petition for leave to appeal instanter". The compliant petition must be provided as an "Exhibit" to the motion, and the motion with</li> </ul> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | petition must be uploaded as ONE pdf document. Thank you. |
|--|---|

| <b>Document Details</b>    |                              |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Case Number</b>         | 25884372                     |
| <b>Case Style</b>          |                              |
| <b>Date/Time Submitted</b> | 1/8/2024 10:38 PM CST        |
| <b>Filing Type</b>         | EFileAndServe                |
| <b>Filing Description</b>  | Petition for Leave to Appeal |
| <b>Activity Requested</b>  | Petitions - PLA Filed        |
| <b>Filed By</b>            | Alphonse Talarico            |
| <b>Filing Attorney</b>     | Alphonse Talarico            |

**Date :** 1/9/2024 1:37:18 PM  
**From :** "Alphonse Talarico"  
**To :** "Paul Dulberg" , "Paul Dulberg" , "T. Kost"  
**Subject :** Fw: Filing Returned for Envelope Number: 25884372 in Case: 25884372, for filing Petitions - PLA Filed

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** no-reply@efilingmail.tylertech.cloud <no-reply@efilingmail.tylertech.cloud>  
**To:** "alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com" <alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 9, 2024 at 09:38:37 AM CST  
**Subject:** Filing Returned for Envelope Number: 25884372 in Case: 25884372, for filing Petitions - PLA Filed



## Filing Returned

Envelope Number: 25884372  
 Case Number: 25884372  
 Case Style:

The filing below has been reviewed and has been returned for the reasons outlined below. Please, contact the appropriate court help center for further information.

| Return Reason(s) from Clerk's Office |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Court</b>                         | File & Serve  |
| <b>Returned Reason</b>               | Transaction rejected  |
| <b>Returned Comments</b>             | <p>Your document cannot be filed in its current format. Please correct the following deficiencies and resubmit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 341(c), a certificate of compliance must state that your petition is ___ number of pages OR words (Limited to 20 pages or 6,000 words);</li> <li>(2) You must include the Appellate Court order with your petition for leave to appeal.</li> <li>(3) Your appendix should not be listed within your petition for leave to appeal. Further, it does not appear that any of your documents listed in your appendix were attached to your petition for leave to appeal.</li> <li>(4) Since you are representing Mr. Paul R. Dulberg, your signature must be on all documents. Mr. Dulberg's signature is not required.</li> <li>(5) Further, since your petition was due on January 8, 2024, you must now submit for filing a "motion for leave to file petition for leave to appeal instanter". The compliant petition must be provided as an "Exhibit" to the motion, and the motion with</li> </ul> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | petition must be uploaded as ONE pdf document. Thank you. |
|--|---|

| <b>Document Details</b>    |                              |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Case Number</b>         | 25884372                     |
| <b>Case Style</b>          |                              |
| <b>Date/Time Submitted</b> | 1/8/2024 10:38 PM CST        |
| <b>Filing Type</b>         | EFileAndServe                |
| <b>Filing Description</b>  | Petition for Leave to Appeal |
| <b>Activity Requested</b>  | Petitions - PLA Filed        |
| <b>Filed By</b>            | Alphonse Talarico            |
| <b>Filing Attorney</b>     | Alphonse Talarico            |

**Date : 1/9/2024 3:23:34 PM**  
**From : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**To : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**Cc : "Tom Kost"**  
**BCc : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Subject : Re: Filing Returned for Envelope Number: 25884372 in Case: 25884372, for filing Petitions - PLA Filed**  
**Attachment : Fix-1\_2023-01-08\_Petition for leave to appeal - Supreme Court.pdf; ATT00002.bin;**

I am sending what I have changed in the petition per your instructions.

I moved the appendix list to the back and I am not sure if each appendix number should be a stand alone document or all combined into a single PDF with Bookmarks for A1, A2, A3 etc....

Currently there is a total of 4,615 pages but I have 1 more (A5) that we previously hyperlinked to that is a problem.

appendix A5 goes to Barbara G Smith's thumb drive containing zip files and the Mast deposition recording.

Not sure how to do a .wav file (mast recording) in an appendix made up of PDF's.

Call me and lets discuss...

Paul

FILED DATE: 9/2/2025 9:16 PM 2022L010905

|                           |                              |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Filing Description</b> | Petition for Leave to Appeal |
| <b>Activity Requested</b> | Petitions - PLA Filed        |
| <b>Filed By</b>           | Alphonse Talarico            |
| <b>Filing Attorney</b>    | Alphonse Talarico            |

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
 To: Alphonse Talarico <alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com>  
 Cc: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 9, 2024 at 3:23 PM

I am sending what I have changed in the petition per your instructions.

I moved the appendix list to the back and I am not sure if each appendix number should be a stand alone document or all combined into a single PDF with Bookmarks for A1, A2, A3 etc....

Currently there is a total of 4,615 pages but I have 1 more (A5) that we previously hyperlinked to that is a problem.

appendix A5 goes to Barbara G Smith's thumb drive containing zip files and the Mast deposition recording.

Not sure how to do a .wav file (mast recording) in an appendix made up of PDF's.

Call me and lets discuss...

Paul

On Jan 9, 2024, at 1:37 PM, Alphonse Talarico <alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** no-reply@efilingmail.tylertech.cloud <no-reply@efilingmail.tylertech.cloud>

**To:** "alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com" <alphonsetalarico@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 9, 2024 at 09:38:37 AM CST

**Subject:** Filing Returned for Envelope Number: 25884372 in Case: 25884372, for filing Petitions - PLA Filed



ODYSSEY  
eFileIL™

## Filing Returned

Envelope Number: 25884372

Case Number: 25884372

Case Style:

The filing below has been reviewed and has been returned for the reasons outlined below. Please, contact the appropriate court help center for further information.

| Return Reason(s) from Clerk's Office |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Court</b>                         | File & Serve  |
| <b>Returned Reason</b>               | Transaction rejected  |
| <b>Returned Comments</b>             | Your document cannot be filed in its current format. Please correct the following deficiencies and resubmit:<br>(1) Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 341(c), a certificate of compliance must state that your petition is ___ number of pages OR words (Limited |

**Date : 1/10/2024 5:00:51 AM**  
**From : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**To : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**Cc : "Tom Kost"**  
**BCc : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Subject : Test for Barbara G Smith's recording in PDF**

Attachment available until Feb 9, 2024

Mr Talarico and Tom,

I constructed a single page multimedia rich PDF (attached) to test if I can get the Mast.wav file into a PDF.

Please open the attached zip file, extract the PDF, open and let me know if you get it to play the recorded deposition of Mast.

Thanks,  
Paul

[Click to Download](#)

Mast deposition recording in PDF tests.pdf.zip  
56.8 MB

**Date : 1/10/2024 8:25:19 AM**  
**From : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**To : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Cc : "Tom Kost"**  
**Subject : Re: Test for Barbara G Smith's recording in PDF**

I can play it.

**From:** Paul Dulberg <pdulberg@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 10, 2024 5:00 AM  
**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>  
**Cc:** Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Test for Barbara G Smith's recording in PDF

Attachment available until Feb 9, 2024

Mr Talarico and Tom,  
I constructed a single page multimedia rich PDF (attached) to test if I can get the Mast.wav file into a PDF.  
Please open the attached zip file, extract the PDF, open and let me know if you get it to play the recorded deposition of Mast.  
Thanks,  
Paul

[Click to Download](#)

Mast deposition recording in PDF tests.pdf.zip  
56.8 MB

**Date : 1/11/2024 3:16:50 PM**  
**From : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**To : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Subject : Re: Fix 3**

Dear Sir,

I don't understand what I have to say so that you will do as the Illinois Supreme Court clerk will accept the PFLTA.

No hyperlinks, no bookmarks that act as hyperlinks.

The actual documents must be in the Appendix.

if you do not believe me, please call the Clerk of the Supreme Court and ask them yourself.

I will file whatever you direct but i have given you the warning that i received.

Your ARDC complaint number are enough, as you have said, for the Supreme Court could see what they desire.

You are boxing me into a situation with a 95% rejection ration to blame me for any rejection based upon your often used "I told you so.

Tell me what you want filed.

I told you what the clerk said.

You are free to call yourself and discuss with the clerk.

**From:** Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 11, 2024 2:15 PM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

**Subject:** Fwd: Fix 3

Answer to question from ADR email about revision done

Latest version of the Supreme Court petition

We need to go over it together and figure out what needs to be scaled back to meet the clerks requirements in the message you sent me yesterday.

Call when your ready

Paul

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

**Subject:** Fix 3

**Date:** January 11, 2024 at 7:49:52 AM CST

**To:** Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

**Date : 1/11/2024 3:29:02 PM**  
**From : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**To : "Law Office Of Alphonse Talarico"**  
**Cc : "Tom Kost"**  
**BCc : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Subject : Re: Fix 3**  
Alphonse,

I pay you a lot of money to author these documents in compliance with the courts rules.

You agreed you would author the petition. That got put one me, the client. I am trying to do your Job!

I only agreed to format what you authored.

I told you on the phone this morning that we need to go over this together line by line to fix it and provided you with my latest attempt to fix it before hearing the recording from the clerk.

You need to make the time to work with me on authoring this!

Paul

On Jan 11, 2024, at 3:16 PM, Alphonse Talarico  
<contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

Dear Sir,

I don't understand what I have to say so that you will do as the Illinois Supreme Court clerk will accept the PFLTA.

No hyperlinks, no bookmarks that act as hyperlinks.

The actual documents must be in the Appendix.

if you do not believe me, please call the Clerk of the Supreme Court and ask them yourself.

I will file whatever you direct but i have given you the warning that i received.

Your ARDC complaint number are enough, as you have said, for the Supreme Court could see what they desire.

You are boxing me into a situation with a 95% rejection ration to blame me for any rejection based upon your often used "I told you so.

Tell me what you want filed.

I told you what the clerk said.

You are free to call yourself and discuss with the clerk.

**From:** Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 11, 2024 2:15 PM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>

**Subject:** Fwd: Fix 3

FILED DATE: 9/2/2025 9:16 PM 2022L010905



T Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

**Fix 3**

2 messages

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 11, 2024 at 7:49 AM

 **Fix-3\_2023-01-08\_Petition for leave to appeal - Supreme Court.pdf**  
302K

**Paul Dulberg** <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
To: Law Office Of Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>  
Cc: Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 11, 2024 at 3:29 PM

Alphonse,

I pay you a lot of money to author these documents in compliance with the courts rules.

You agreed you would author the petition. That got put one me, the client. I am trying to do your Job!

I only agreed to format what you authored.

I told you on the phone this morning that we need to go over this together line by line to fix it and provided you with my latest attempt to fix it before hearing the recording from the clerk.

You need to make the time to work with me on authoring this!

Paul

On Jan 11, 2024, at 3:16 PM, Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com> wrote:

Dear Sir,

I don't understand what I have to say so that you will do as the Illinois Supreme Court clerk will accept the PFLTA.

No hyperlinks, no bookmarks that act as hyperlinks.

The actual documents must be in the Appendix.

if you do not believe me, please call the Clerk of the Supreme Court and ask them yourself.

I will file whatever you direct but i have given you the warning that i received.

Your ARDC complaint number are enough, as you have said, for the Supreme Court could see what they desire.

You are boxing me into a situation with a 95% rejection ration to blame me for any rejection

**Date : 1/12/2024 8:12:30 AM**  
**From : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**To : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Subject : Re: Fix 4**

Gentlemen,

As a professional, I will continue to work until I am fired or withdraw.

To set the record straight, and include what you always forget, that being the facts that don't fit in your version of life, I asked you to create the pro forma and have your brother Thomas Kost draft the "history section" Only"! because, as I stated, he could strip off the history from the web site and/ or the ARDC complaints already in existence.

You then took off and I acquiesced with your desire to author the PFLTA to give you another shot at telling the world about who damaged you.

You have conveniently forgotten that I discovered the fact that Judge Meyer had recused himself as a friend of Popovich (without my research skills you would never have known about all that was done) that I have been available 24/7 for your calls , that I have put your family's legal issues before my own health, and that by signing PFLTA and motion I will put a target on my back with the legal community in multiple counties.

You do not listen!

How dare you be offended by the truth!

I am done fighting with you.

I will accept verbal communication from Thomas Kost on any relevant legal matter, but you sir, can communicate only in writing.

The verbal abuse and snide comments are not acceptable, and surely not professional.

The instructions were clear, but you chose to disregard them.

You were informed that, through at least three conversations with April, an assistant Supreme Court Clerk, I investigated the use of hyperlinks and bookmarks and I told you CLEARLY what she said "no hyperlinks" "no bookmarks" "the appendix must contain the actual document" " the requirement for 13 paper copies of the PFLTA filed in Springfield Illinois and the Appendix makes your desired thousands of pages not acceptable"

What in those clear instructions don't you understand?

You don't have to be a lawyer to understand those words.

You always fall back with "I am not a lawyer" and "I am not an author" but you don't have to be a lawyer to understand plain English and I asked to have Thomas Kost, not you, author the history section.

Finally, since I kept telling you that you cannot include hyperlinks and bookmarks in the PFLTA I suggested you, as Client with your appearance on file, call April and ask her yourself?

Did you do that?

You just launched into another tirade about how much money you pay me.

As is typical, you are killing the messenger, instead of remembering all the lawyers, court personnel and judge who took advantage of you and the lawyers who were hired by you to clean up the mess, like the Baudins who got paid over \$100,000.00 for a few hours work and compare to all the hours I have worked, with detailed time sheets which we have referred to in

You were informed that, through at least three conversations with April, an assistant Supreme Court Clerk, I investigated the use of hyperlinks and bookmarks and I told you CLEARLY what she said "no hyperlinks" "no bookmarks" "the appendix must contain the actual document" " the requirement for 13 paper copies of the PFLTA filed in Springfield Illinois and the Appendix makes your desired thousands of pages not acceptable"

What in those clear instructions don't you understand?

You don't have to be a lawyer to understand those words.

You always fall back with "I am not a lawyer" and "I am not an author" but you don't have to be a lawyer to understand plain English and I asked to have Thomas Kost, not you, author the history section.

Finally, since I kept telling you that you cannot include hyperlinks and bookmarks in the PFLTA I suggested you, as Client with your appearance on file, call April and ask her yourself?

Did you do that?

You just launched into another tirade about how much money you pay me.

As is typical, you are killing the messenger, instead of remembering all the lawyers, court personnel and judge who took advantage of you and the lawyers who were hired by you to clean up the mess, like the Baudins who got paid over \$100,000.00 for a few hours work and compare to all the hours I have worked, with detailed time sheets which we have referred to in the past to find out when an action was taken, and because you are having an extended anxiety attack, blame me for all the evils that have befallen you.

P.S. I have blocked Mr. Dulberg's telephone calls to my cell phone as he has recently done to me. PUT IT IN WRITING!

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esquire  
3128081410

□

**From:** Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 11, 2024 3:55 PM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <[contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com](mailto:contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com)>

**Cc:** Tom Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** Fix 4

You were informed that, through at least three conversations with April, an assistant Supreme Court Clerk, I investigated the use of hyperlinks and bookmarks and I told you CLEARLY what she said "no hyperlinks" "no bookmarks" "the appendix must contain the actual document" " the requirement for 13 paper copies of the PFLTA filed in Springfield Illinois and the Appendix makes your desired thousands of pages not acceptable"

What in those clear instructions don't you understand?

You don't have to be a lawyer to understand those words.

You always fall back with "I am not a lawyer" and "I am not an author" but you don't have to be a lawyer to understand plain English and I asked to have Thomas Kost, not you, author the history section.

Finally, since I kept telling you that you cannot include hyperlinks and bookmarks in the PFLTA I suggested you, as Client with your appearance on file, call April and ask her yourself?

Did you do that?

You just launched into another tirade about how much money you pay me.

As is typical, you are killing the messenger, instead of remembering all the lawyers, court personnel and judge who took advantage of you and the lawyers who were hired by you to clean up the mess, like the Baudins who got paid over \$100,000.00 for a few hours work and compare to all the hours I have worked, with detailed time sheets which we have referred to in the past to find out when an action was taken, and because you are having an extended anxiety attack, blame me for all the evils that have befallen you.

P.S. I have blocked Mr. Dulberg's telephone calls to my cell phone as he has recently done to me. PUT IT IN WRITING!

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esquire  
3128081410



**From:** Paul Dulberg <[Paul\\_Dulberg@comcast.net](mailto:Paul_Dulberg@comcast.net)>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 11, 2024 3:55 PM

**To:** Alphonse Talarico <[contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com](mailto:contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com)>

**Date : 1/12/2024 8:47:26 AM**  
**From : "Alphonse Talarico"**  
**To : "Paul Dulberg"**  
**Subject : Re: Fix 4**

Gentlemen,

My damned job is not to be your slave 24/7, listen to your irrational version of the truth or remind you that when we agree to the current attorney fee schedule, you first agreed to hire another attorney to help me in all your cases and then when you could not find an attorney to represent you, you promised that you and your brother Thomas Kost, will help in certain areas of your cases as my assistant.

Do your damned job, or is your word useless?

Remove all the hyperlinks and bookmarks, add all the Appendix documents, and don't try to sabotage this filing so you can add me to the lists of attorney you sue.

You have been working on this PFLTA for 4 or 5 days before it was initially filed on January 8, 2024 and rejected and every day since BUT YOU THINK YOU ARE THE LONE RANGER WHO WAS GIVEN INSTRUCTION ONLY YESTERDAY.

I have been working on this and answering your questions and researching with my Westlaw paid research assistants for days, which you knew about, and at the same time working on the Kost Appeal.

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esquire  
3128081410

**From:** Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 11, 2024 4:20 PM  
**To:** Alphonse Talarico <contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com>  
**Cc:** Tom Kost <tkost999@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Fix 4

Or better yet, another option, DO YOUR DAMN JOB AND AUTHOR A NEW DOCUMENT THE WAY YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO, THE WAY YOU WANT IT and provide it to me for review before doing anything with it.

Its up to you, your choice, fix what I have or do your own.

On Jan 11, 2024, at 3:55 PM, Paul Dulberg <Paul\_Dulberg@comcast.net> wrote:

You say I didn't listen!

How dare you sir.

You were supposed to have authored the whole thing and STUCK IT ALL ON ME ONE DAY BEFORE IT WAS DUE.

Now you give vague instruction and blame me for not doing what you command.

If I am not following your lead it is clearly because I am not a lawyer and I don't understand.

So I ask for you to go over it with me line by line and your response is "if you had listened but you ask advice and then ignore it"

I am and have been asking for your help but you don't seem to hear it!

I am done fighting with you.

A REPORT (ROUGH OUTLINE) FROM PAUL DULBERG (FROM MEMORY) OF EVENTS THAT TOOK PLACE WHEN PREPARING THE SUPREME COURT PETITION. (Report was prepared on 2023-01-13 at around 9:00AM. More will be added in updated versions.)

PAUL DULBERG WROTE:

Below is a quick outline of what transpired – from memory since we already have a detailed outline – It will take considerable time for me to go over the timeline and match up my memory with the exact dates and times.

A day or two before the petition was due I found out by phone that Mr Talarico hadn't done any work authoring the petition.

Said all the time in the past 35 days was spent on the Tiff and the other cases.

I said we need to get this done.

We agreed he would author the document and I should do the formatting.

I told him I would need something to look at to build a template for the petition.

He did not have anything for me to see.

I went online and downloaded a petition that I found and asked if that would work as a template.

He said it should.

Over the next couple hours I created an INDD file using the form I found as a template, even threw in section headers and some wording as placeholders till he provided the copy.

I sent him it as a PDF and called him back and said I am ready to drop in the text that he was authoring. He said he had to do some research with Thompson Reuters west law.

He did provide a few things that I dropped in the template and said he was working another section and asked if I would have Tom do the history section.

I said I would call Tom and see. Tom agreed to help. I told Talarico that tom would help but needed an idea of what all it encompassed.

Mr Talarico provided what he called a preface and I dropped in the template.

-----Etc... ( I would need more time to go over the emails to build exactly how we came to the next part)

When I inquired about how to do the appendix with so many documents referenced I was told by Talarico that we could use hyperlinks to the documents. Tom, you spent a whole day and stayed up all night in a marathon creating those hyperlinks.

Talarico filed the petition as is because time was up.

When I noticed the petition filed had the hyperlinks removed or disabled I contacted Talarico.

Talarico said he would contact the clerk.

The petition was rejected.

Talarico said that hyperlinks were not allowed and that all the documents had to be attached in the appendix.

A discussion was had over what the appendix had to look like and how the documents were to be

differentiated. Ex, like exhibit tags at the start of each document? Talarico said he didn't know

I attempted to amend the petition turning all the hyperlinked documents in an appendix without any clear idea of what it was to look like. I built in bookmarks in the PDF that acted as the identifier and as the separator – the sheer amount of pages took days

Talarico said he loved the bookmarks and said I should have an identifier on the first page of each document like an exhibit tag identifies each document.

I added A1, A2, etc in Large red font to the first page of each document.

Talarico said he was in communication with the clerk and they won't accept all those documents in the appendix and that they were not needed.

At this point I had been trying my best to follow Talarico's instruction and everything to date was done wrong and he blamed me for not following his instructions and things just got weird from this point forward.

FILED DATE: 9/2/2025 9:16 PM 2022L010905

**I need some kind of detailed record of what the clerk said to you and what you said to the clerk.** Do you have notes or anything in writing that I can see? I cannot find any clear set of directions that you provided to Paul Dulberg which he could follow in any of the communication linked above.

If you do not keep any notes of your many telephone exchanges with the clerk that you can provide us, this means we are completely dependent on your memory of what the clerk told you. Obviously we need those memories written down and sent to us so we can comply with the instructions of the clerk. Could you please write down everything that you remember from your telephone exchanges with the clerk so we can have this information, too?

Thanks

Please provide as soon as possible so I can see the clerk's instructions written out in detail.

On Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:52 AM T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: **Alphonse Talarico** <[contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com](mailto:contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com)>  
Date: Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 7:10 AM  
Subject: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.  
To: T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)>

Sir,

Please see the attached Illinois Supreme Court Rule.

Thank you,  
Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

---

**Alphonse Talarico** <[contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com](mailto:contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com)>  
To: T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)>

Sat, Jan 13, 2024 at 9:33 AM

As I told Mr. Dulberg many times, the clerk told me the following: no hyperlinks, no bookmarks, the actual documents must be in the appendix, thousands of pages will not work in the Appendix, 13 complete paper copies of the Petition and Appendix have to be served on the court in Springfield within 5 days of acceptance.

Mr. Dulberg, is a very street smart and learned individual who plays confused when it suits him. I told him many times that since he is not only the petitioner, but he has an appearance on file in this matter, he should call the clerk himself and ask any questions he desires, and now any questions you desire.

Sincerely,

Alphonse A. Talarico, Esq.

---

**From:** T Kost <[tkost999@gmail.com](mailto:tkost999@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 13, 2024 9:17 AM  
**To:** Alphonse Talarico <[contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com](mailto:contact@lawofficeofalphonsetalarico.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court.

Mr Talarico, I have asked Paul to write about the work the two of you have been doing together since January 8th (when the filing was due). He wrote me an outline of events that he remembers and interactions he had with you while preparing the Supreme Court Petition.